

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

APPLICATION NO.

FILING DATE

CRABTREE

011055: 4450

09/593,360

06/14/00

QM02/1002

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

FELSMAN BRADLEY VADAN GUNTER & DILLON LL

ONE RIVERWAY SUITE 1100

HOUSTON TX 77056-1920

KIM, C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3752

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	<u>,</u>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/593,360	CRABTREE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher S. Kim	3752
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J	une 2000 and 18 June 2001 .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-44 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.	\
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		\
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	miner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		L.
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
	·	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.		
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)	" 	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/593,360 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-11, 21-23, 33-38 and 44 are, drawn to an apparatus for proportioning foam concentrate, classified in class 169, subclass 15.
 - II. Claim 19 is, drawn to an automatic pressure regulating self-educting foam/fog nozzle, classified in class 239, subclass 410.
 - III. Claims 12-18, 24-32 and 39-43 are, drawn to a method for proportioning foam concentrate, classified in class 169, subclass 44.
 - IV. Claim 20 is, drawn to a method for automatically regulating a self-educting foam/fog nozzle, classified in class 239, subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, III and II, IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Inventions I and III do not require the nozzle of Inventions II and IV. The subcombination has separate utility such as a garden sprayer.

Application/Control Number: 09/593,360

Art Unit: 3752

3. Inventions III, IV and I, II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another materially different process such as spraying water and fertilizer.

Page 3

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species A, figures 2A-2C; Species B, figure 2D; Species C, figures 3A and 3B; Species D, figures 3C and 3E; Species E, figure 3D; Species F, figure 4C; Species G, figure 4D; Species H, figure 5A; Species I, figure 5B; Species J, figure 5C; Species K, figure 6; Species L, figure 7; Species M, figure 8; Species N, figure 9; Species O, figure 10A; Species P, figure 10B; Species Q, figure 11A; Species R, figure 11B; Species S, figure 11C; Species T, figures 11D-11E; Species U, figures 11F-11G; Species V, figure 11H.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Art Unit: 3752

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3752

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (703) 308-8336. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Scherbel can be reached on (703) 308-1272. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7766 for regular communications and (703) 308-7766 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Christopher S. Kim

Examiner Art Unit 3752

CK September 29, 2001